

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,793	03/24/2004	Bruce Sanford Jones	NUKZ 2 00387	4245
27885 75	590 10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			GOLDBERG, BRIAN J	
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114		FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/807	,793	JONES ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Brian G		2861				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOR WHICHE - Extensior after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MA IS of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of reply is specified above, the maximum stature of the specified above, the maximum stature ply within the set or extended period for reply wire received by the Office later than three months after a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ication. tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become a	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
2a)∏ Th 3)∏ Sir	nce this application is in condition fo)⊠ This action is r allowance exce	pt for formal ma	· •	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)☐ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)☐ Cla	aim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from o						
Application	Papers							
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the le drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 plicant may not request that any objection placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	is/are: a) acc on to the drawing(s ne correction is requ) be held in abeya uired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	D-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,793 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 7/12/06 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- 3. Regarding claim 1, in line 5 of the claim, "an image formed thereon form the transferable" is not proper. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Regarding claim 4, in line 3 of the claim "image form said input device" is not proper. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berghauser et al. (US 6186207) in view of Wixted et al. (US 20030141687).
- 7. Regarding claim 1, Berghauser et al. disclose "an output device (24 of Fig 2)..., said output device adapted to output a transfer sheet (17 of Fig 2) having an image formed thereon form the transferable marking substance (col 3 ln 26-32, ln 39-43); and, a transfer press (16 of Fig 2) ..., said transfer press having a heating element suitable

Art Unit: 2861

for transferring the marking substance from said sheet to the article (col 3 ln 33-43)."

Thus Berghauser et al. meet the claimed invention except "a workstation frame" supporting the various elements.

- 8. Wixted et al. teach "a workstation frame (10 of Fig 1)" for supporting various electronic elements. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to provide the workstation frame taught by Wixted et al. to support the workstation elements disclosed by Berghauser et al. One would have been motivated to so modify Berghauser et al. for the benefit of storing the workstation elements on a frame that provides easy transport as stated by Wixted et al.
- 9. Regarding claim 2, Berghauser et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above regarding claim 1. Thus Berghauser et al. meet the claimed invention except "a heat dissipation element supported on said frame and suitable for receiving heat from the article."
- 10. Wixted et al. further teach "a heat dissipation element supported on said frame and suitable for receiving heat from the article (see Par [0029])." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to provide a heat dissipation element. One would have been motivated to so modify Berghauser et al. for the benefit of ventilation of the workstation frame to allow for dissipation of the heat generated by the workstation, which prevents it from overheating and possible damage.
- 11. Regarding claim 3, Berghauser et al. further disclose "an input device for receiving said image (22 of Fig 2), said input device being supported on said frame and

Art Unit: 2861

in communication with said output device (24 of Fig 2) for transferring data corresponding to said image to said output device (col 5 ln 1-5)."

- 12. Regarding claim 4, Berghauser et al. further disclose "a processor in communication with said input device (in 22 of Fig 2), said processor adapted to receive said image form said input device and output data corresponding to a mirror image of said received image to said output device (col 3 ln 20-26, col 5 ln 1-5)."
- 13. Regarding claim 5, Berghauser et al. further disclose "an alignment fixture supporting the article within said transfer press (51, 52 of Fig 8)."
- 14. Claim 2 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berghauser et al. in view of McConnell et al. (US 20030028588). Berghauser et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above regarding claim 1. Thus Berghauser et al. meet the claimed invention except "a heat dissipation element supported on said frame and suitable for receiving heat from the article."
- 15. McConnell et al. further teach "a heat dissipation element supported on said frame and suitable for receiving heat from the article (see Par [0082])." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to provide a heat dissipation element. One would have been motivated to so modify Berghauser et al. for the benefit of ventilation of the workstation frame to allow for dissipation of the heat generated by the workstation, which prevents it from overheating and possible damage.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,793 Page 5

Art Unit: 2861

16. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berghauser et al. in view of Wixted et al. and further in view of Goertzen (US 20050150591).

- 17. Regarding claims 6 and 7, Berghauser et al. in view of Wixted et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above regarding claim 5. Thus Berghauser et al. in view of Wixted et al. meet the claimed invention except "said alignment fixture has an alignment guide and said transfer sheet is cooperable with said alignment guide to position said image on said transfer sheet along the article as desired" and "said alignment guide is a peripheral edge of said alignment fixture."
- 18. Goertzen teaches "said alignment fixture has an alignment guide and said transfer sheet is cooperable with said alignment guide to position said image on said transfer sheet along the article as desired (140 of Fig 2, Par [0032])" and "said alignment guide is a peripheral edge of said alignment fixture (140, 155 of Fig 2)." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to provide an alignment guide as claimed. One would have been motivated to so modify Berghauser et al. in view of Wixted et al. for the benefit of correctly and desirably aligning the image and to maintain that orientation throughout the image transfer process as stated by Goertzen.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Goldberg whose telephone number is 571-272-2728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9AM-5PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,793 Page 6

Art Unit: 2861

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vip Patel can be reached on 571-272-2458. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AU 2861 October 6, 2006

Supervisory Examiner